## Second Year Qualifying Exam Assessment Form

Student Name:
Advisor:
Committee Members: 1.
(chair)
2.

Meeting Date:

To be filled out by committee during exam:

1) The quality of the work completed to date was regarded as:

2) The quantity of work completed to date was regarded as:

3) The written report was judged as:

4) The oral presentation was judged to be:

5) The student's mastery of basic chemistry was judged to be:

6) The student's mastery of specific knowledge related to the Ph.D. research was judged to be:

7) The student's understanding of the context and importance of the research project was judged to be:

8) The proposed outline/plan for further experiments was judged to be:


Feedback: Please provide constructive feedback you think the student will find valuable. If "needs work" was checked for any categories please explain and suggest mechanisms for improvement.

Overall Evaluation: For each of the previous categories assign a point value as follow: Excellent = 2 pts, Satisfactory = 1 pts, Needs Work $=0$ pts.

Point Total: $\qquad$ /16 pts

- 14-16 points: Excellent progress and plan. The student is well prepared to advance to Ph.D. candidacy (automatic nomination for Lambert Award)
- 8-13 points: Satisfactory progress and plan. The student is prepared to advance to Ph.D. candidacy.
- 5-7 points: Conditional. Progress and/or plan is not fully satisfactory. The corrective actions indicated below are required for a satisfactory pass; this may include an addendum.
- 4-0 points: Poor. Progress and/or plan is unsatisfactory. In order to maintain good standing in the program, the student must retake the QE exam after the corrective actions indicated below are taken.

Corrective actions (if required):

Committee Member's Signatures:
Student's Signature:
$\qquad$
1.
$\qquad$
3. $\qquad$ (advisor)

